City of Greenville, NC

DRAFT CAPER

2021-2022

Public Comment Period August 31,2022- September 16, 2022

Introduction

The document that follows is the DRAFT Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) for the City of Greenville, North Carolina for the period of July 1, 2021- June 30, 2022. The CAPER reports the City of Greenville's use of federal entitlement funds and the number of demographics of the individuals served with federal Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) and HOME Investment Partnership funds during the plan year. HUD requires that cities receiving federal housing and community development funds submit this report every September.

This CAPER is the fourth year report of accomplishments within Greenville's Five Year Consolidated Plan, 2018-2022. The Citizen Participation Plan requires the opportunity for public comment on the CAPER before submitting it to HUD.

CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)

The Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) details the housing and community development activities undertaken by the City of Greenville Planning and Development Services Department during the 2020 program year. This program year began on July 1, 2021 and ended June 30, 2022. The City of Greenville implemented projects and activities that were identified as the needs and priorities within the five (5) year Consolidated Plan, as well as needs brought on by the COVID-19 Pandemic. Priority needs call for a continued, concentrated effort within the West Greenville 45-Block Revitalization Area and Housing Rehabilitation within the entire jurisdiction of the City of Greenville. These efforts are designed to preserve the existing housing stock and to provide decent, safe affordable housing for citizens of Greenville. Within the city, all efforts during the course of the five (5) year period focused primarily on needs within the boundaries of the West Greenville Redevelopment Area bounded by the Tar River on the north, Greene Street on the east, Tenth Street Connector on the south, and Memorial Drive on the west. The following activities are addressing the needs in those areas: owner-occupied rehabilitation, preservation of the existing housing stock, down payment assistance, homeownership counseling, addressing lead-based paint issues, and support of the local Continuum of Care Plan. Special pandemic related assistance included emergency rental assistance, small business assistance, and COVID-related non-profit support.

CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted). 91.520(a)

Narrative

There were 818 households reported as assisted through the CDBG and HOME programs. The majority of persons served were Black making up 71 percent of all families assisted.

The City of Greenville has local target areas, as well as serving the community at large. These target areas are located within qualifying Census Tracts 37147000701 and 37147000702, which are primarily composed of African-American households. According to HUD provided AFH data, these tracts qualify as R/ECAP tracts (racially/ethnically concentrated areas of poverty), which HUD defines as tracts with a non-white population of 50 percent or more, and with 40 percent or more individuals living at or below the poverty level.

The City also has a scattered site program which enables staff to reach a broader range of races, ethnicities and qualifying Census Tracts based on ACS data.

CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

Identify the resources made available

| Source of Funds | Source | Resources Made Available | Amount Expended During Program Year |
|-----------------|--------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| CDBG | | 1,022,291 | 781,549.96 |
| HOME | | 548,644 | 125,692.87 |
| HOPWA | | | |
| CDBG-CV | | 1,164,559 | 789,701.39 |

Table 1 - Resources Made Available

Narrative

CDBG funds were utilized for owner-occupied rehabilitation, non-profit support grants, and related administrative costs. HOME funds were utilitzed for down payment assistance and associated administrative costs. CDBG-CV was utilized for rental assistance, business assistance, and associated administrative costs.

Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

| Target Area | Planned Percentage of Allocation | Actual Percentage of Allocation | Narrative Description |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| West Greenville | 75 | 45 | |

Table 2 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Narrative

The City's concentrated efforts are in the West Greenville Redevelopment Area. This area is bounded by the Tar River to the north, Greene Street to the east, the 10th Street Connector to the south, and Memorial Drive to the west. The following activities are being carried out while addressing the needs in those areas: housing assistance through rehabilitation, down payment assistance and addressing lead-based paint issues. Six of thirteen owner-occupied homes that underwent construction were rehabilitated in the West Greenville Redevelopment Area. There were four down payment assistance subsidies that supported first-time homebuyers, all within the West Greenville neighborhood.

Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

| Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match | | | | | |
|--|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| 1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year | 1,496,301 | | | | |
| 2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year | 309,830 | | | | |
| 3 .Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2) | 1,806,131 | | | | |
| 4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year | 31,423 | | | | |
| 5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4) | 1,774,707 | | | | |

Table 3 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report

| | Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|-------------------|-------------|
| Project No. or Other ID | Date of Contribution | Cash (non-Federal sources) | Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges | Appraised Land/Real Property | Required Infrastructure | Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor | Bond Financing | Total Match |
| | | | | | | | | |

Table 4 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

HOME MBE/WBE report

| Program Income – Enter the | Program Income – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Balance on hand at | Amount received during | Total amount expended | Amount expended for | Balance on hand at end | | | | |
| begin-ning of reporting | reporting period | during reporting period | TBRA | of reporting period | | | | |
| period | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | | | | |
| \$ | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Table 5 – Program Income

| 14.46 01 00110 | Total | E projects comple | | ness Enterprises | | White Non- Hispanic |
|----------------|-------|--|---------------------------------|------------------------|----------|------------------------|
| | Total | Alaskan Native or American Indian | Asian or Pacific Islander | Black Non- Hispanic | Hispanic | |
| Contracts | | <u></u> | | | | |
| Number | | | | | | |
| Dollar | | | | | | |
| Amount | | | | | | |
| Sub-Contract | :s | | | | | |
| Number | | | | | | |
| Dollar | | | | | | |
| Amount | | | | | | |
| | Total | Women Business Enterprises | Male | | | |
| Contracts | | | | 7 | | |
| Number | | | | | | |
| Dollar | | | | | | |
| Amount | | | | _ | | |
| Sub-Contract | s | _ | | _ | | |
| Number | | | | | | |
| Dollar | | | | | | |
| Amount | | | | 1 | | |

Amount

Table 6 - Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises

| _ | Minority Owners of Rental Property – Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|--|---|------------------------|----------|------------------------|--|
| | Total | Alaskan Native or American Indian | Minority Pro Asian or Pacific Islander | Black Non- Hispanic | Hispanic | White Non- Hispanic | |
| Number | | | | | | | |
| Dollar Amount | | | | | | | |

Table 7 – Minority Owners of Rental Property

| Relocation and Real Property Acquisition – Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of | | | | | | | |
|---|--------|---------|-------------|-------|------------------|-----------|------------|
| relocation payments, the | number | of pard | els acquire | d, an | d the cost of ac | quisition | |
| Parcels Acquired | | | | | | | |
| Businesses Displaced | | | | | | | |
| Nonprofit Organizations | | | | | | | |
| Displaced | | | | | | | |
| Households Temporarily | | | | | | | |
| Relocated, not Displaced | | | | | | | |
| Households Total | | | Minority P | rope | rty Enterprises | | White Non- |
| Displaced | Alasi | kan | Asian o | r | Black Non- | Hispanic | Hispanic |
| | Nativ | e or | Pacific | | Hispanic | | |
| | Amer | ican | Islande | r | - | | |
| | Indi | an | | | | | |
| | a. | u., | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Number | | | | | | | |

Table 8 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

| | One-Year Goal | Actual |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|--------|
| Number of homeless households to be | 0 | 0 |
| provided affordable housing units | | |
| Number of non-homeless households | 130 | 224 |
| to be provided affordable housing | | |
| units | | |
| Number of special-needs households | 0 | 0 |
| to be provided affordable housing | | |
| units | | |
| Total | 164 | 224 |

Table 9 - Number of Households

| | One-Year Goal | Actual |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|--------|
| Number of households supported | 150 | 220 |
| through rental assistance | | |
| Number of households supported | 4 | 0 |
| through the production of new units | | |
| Number of households supported | 10 | 3 |
| through the rehab of existing units | | |
| Number of households supported | 0 | 0 |
| through the acquisition of existing | | |
| units | | |
| Total | 164 | 223 |

Table 10 - Number of Households Supported

Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

The annual outcomes were quite reflective of the pandemic. There was a significant need for rental assistance as the eviction moratorium was lifted. While there were thirteen rehabilitations that were under contstruction during the year, this chart accounts only for those completed and closed during the program year. Safe access to families due to covid precautions, delays in supply chain, and increased costs also impacted the rehab program.

Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

The City of Greenville will continue the existing activities to encourage homeownership and will seek opportunities to support new and evolving needs as a result of the pandemic.

CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c) Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

The City of Greenville primarily reduced the risk of homelessness through provisions of emergency rental assistance to those at-risk of eviction. In addition, sub-recipient grants were made available to Community Crossroads Center to assess housing needs for residents.

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

The partnership between the City and Community Crossroads, through the sub-recipient program is the most direct means of assessing and addressing homeless individuals' needs. The VI-SPDT assessment aids in determining severity and type of needs to be addressed. Funding for administration of that assessment is covered, in part, by CDBG.

CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

There are four public housing authority managing agencies in the Pitt County area, which include Greenville Housing Authority with a total of 714 units; Mid-East Commission which manages a total of 135 units in three towns; Farmville Housing Authority which manages 174 units; and Ayden Housing Authority which manages 175 units. Each of the aforementioned public housing authorities receive federal funds to modernize and repair public housing units.

Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

During 2021-2022, the City of Greenville Planning and Development Services Department's Housing Division continued in partnership with the Greenville Housing Development Corporation, a non-profit extension of the Greenville Housing Authority, to participate in homebuyer counseling and financial literacy courses.

Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

None of the public housing authorities in Pitt County have been designated as "troubled" agencies or otherwise performing poorly.

CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

The Housing Division conducts formal monitoring of its CDBG and HOME grant programs annually. The monitoring visits consist of reviewing programmatic procedures to ensure that each grant program regulations defined in the Code of Federal Regulations and the scope of work described in the Subrecipient Agreement are met. General Financial and accounting procedures are also reviewed in accordance with applicable Office of Management and Budget circulars.

If a finding or concern is identified as a result of the monitoring, technical assistance is provided in order for the agency to correct the deficiency. If deficiencies persist, reimbursement of funds may be suspended and/or the subrecipient could jeopardize future funding opportunities.

The City of Greenville ensures that all monitoring letters detail specific time frames for monitoring response and the corrective actions that need to be taken. Additionally, the City will impose sanctions if the corrective actions are not taken within the specified time frame. Furthermore, staff is coordinating a quarterly monitoring schedule to be employed in the 2022 program year.

Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)

Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

The "Notice of Availability" of the CAPER for review and to receive public comments was published in the local paper on August 22, 2022.

The CAPER was made available for review for a period of at least 15 days. Also, the "Notice of Public Hearing" to receive public comments at the City Council meeting was published in "The Daily Reflector" on August 31 and September 16, 2022. The public hearing is scheduled for City Council on September 8, 2022.

CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d)

Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 92.351(b)

Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics

Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 91.320(j)